

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 50169/110 **TANG** 08/14/98 09/134,147

IM22/0522

FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109

Γ

EXAMINER DANG, T PAPER NUMBER

1763

DATE MAILED:

ART UNIT

05/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No. 09/134,147

Applicant(s)

TANG

ffice Actio	n Summary		Exa
-------------	-----------	--	-----

Examiner

Thi Dang

Group Art Unit 1763



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response to the communication. Extensions of time the response of the communication. 	3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsible some application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of ting 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ne may be obtained disease the p
Disposition of Claims X Claim(s) 1-31	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) 1-31 Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. 	
Claims	
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	y the Examinor.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p received.	monty documents
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (1 01 116.5
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE I	FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1763

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-50 and 96-100 of U.S. Patent No. 5,949,927. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the above patent disclose a chemical mechanical polisher which has all of the basic structures of the polisher of the present application and the claims in the present application are obvious variation of those in the patent.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thi Dang whose telephone number is (703) 308-1973.

THI DANG 'PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1700